**HAMPDEN COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE**

**FY2014 Grant Competition**

**Factors to consider:**

1. How important to us is a one-year planning grant?
2. Do we prefer to cut program budgets, or cut one or more programs completely?
3. If we cut budgets, should we cut across the board or only cut the lowest-scoring projects?
4. Will we allow new projects to compete with existing to be placed in Tier 1 or 2?

**Possible Scenarios for FY2014 Submission**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Tier 1** | **Tier 2** | **What Is Cut/Not Included** | **Pros** | **Cons** |
|  | Fully fund programs that rank highest | Lowest ranking programs with total cost of $50,090 | Planning Grant | Likely that all programs funded at current levels | Lowest-scoring program(s) placed at risk |
|  | Fully fund projects that rank highest | Planning Grant | 1 or more programs with total renewal cost of $50,090 |  | Lowest-scoring program(s) will not be funded |
|  | Fund all programs with 2% cuts across the board | Planning grant | All programs cut 2% | All programs funded; likely to get planning grant | All programs receive cuts, regardless of score or ability to sustain cuts |
|  | Fund all programs—highest scoring programs are fully funded, and lower-scoring programs incur budget cuts | Planning grant | Budget reductions for lower-scoring projects | All programs funded; only lower-ranking projects incur budget cuts; likely to get planning grant | Lowest-ranking programs receive cuts |
|  | Planning grant plus fully funded programs that rank highest | Lowest ranking programs with total cost of $50,090 | Lowest scoring program(s) | Planning grant and best programs will be funded | Lowest ranking programs will not be funded |
|  | Planning grant plus programs with grant reductions | Lowest ranking program(s) with total cost of $50,090 |  | All programs and planning grant funded | All programs receive cuts; lowest ranked program at risk |